Solution to the Equations of the Moment Expansions

Paolo Amore [1]

Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Colima,
Bernal Díaz del Castillo 340, Colima, Colima, Mexico

Francisco M. Fernández [2]

INIFTA (UNLP, CCT La Plata-CONICET), División Química Teórica,
Blvd. 113 y 64 (S/N), Sucursal 4, Casilla de Correo 16,
1900 La Plata, Argentina

Abstract

We develop a formula for matching a Taylor series about the origin and an asymptotic exponential expansion for large values of the coordinate. We test it on the expansion of the generating functions for the moments and connected moments of the Hamiltonian operator. In the former case the formula produces the energies and overlaps for the Rayleigh–Ritz method in the Krylov space. We choose the harmonic oscillator and a strongly anharmonic oscillator as illustrative examples for numerical test. Our results reveal some features of the connected–moments expansion that were overlooked in earlier studies and applications of the approach.

[1] E–mailpaolo.amore@gmail.com

[2] E–mail: fernande@quimica.unlp.edu.ar (Corresponding author)

1 Introduction

Some time ago Horn and Weinstein [1] and Horn et al [2] proposed the calculation of the ground–state energy of quantum–mechanical systems by means of the Taylor expansion of the generating function for the cumulants or connected moments. The main problem of this approach is the extrapolation of the t–expansion for $t \to \infty$. Those authors proposed approximate expressions based on Padé approximants that did not produce encouraging results. For that reason Cioslowski [3] suggested the extrapolation by means of a series of exponential functions. This and other approaches were discussed and compared by Stubbins [4]. Cioslowski's approach leads to a nonlinear system of equations for the parameters in the exponential expansion that he solved by means of a systematic algorithm that avoids the explicit calculation of the unnecessary variables. The resulting approach has since been known as the connected–moments expansion or CMX. Later, Knowles [5] developed an elegant expression for the CMX approximants to the energy of the ground state in terms of matrices built from the connected moments. Since then, the CMX has been applied to a wide variety of problems and has been extensively discussed and generalized. A complete list of references is given elsewhere [6]; here we restrict ourselves to those papers that are relevant to the present discussion.

In a recent paper Amore et al [6] analyzed the CMX by means of simple quantum–mechanical models and conjectured that the parameters in the exponential expansion proposed by Cioslowski [3] may give a clue on the success of the approach. However, the seminal papers on the CMX [3, 5] as well as all the later applications of the method [6] (and references therein) were focused on the calculation of the energy avoiding the explicit calculation of the parameters of the exponential expansion.

The main purpose of this paper is to provide an explicit solution to the problem

2

of matching a Taylor series about the origin and an asymptotic exponential expansion at infinity. We apply it to the nonlinear CMX equations in order to show the usefulness of the exponential parameters to predict the success of the approach. We resort to simple quantum–mechanical models that allow the calculation of a sufficiently great number of connected moments in order to test the main equations to any desired order of approximation. In Sec. 2 we develop the main equations for the general problem of matching the two asymptotic series. In Sec. 3 we discuss the generating functions for the moments and connected moments and apply the main equations to them. In Sec. 4 we carry out a numerical test of the general results by means of simple quantum–mechanical models. Finally, in Sec. 5 we discuss the results, draw conclusions and propose further applications of the main equations.

2   Matching the expansions

Suppose that a function $F(t)$ can be expanded in a formal power series for small t

$$F(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^j}{j!} F_j \tag{1}$$

and in an exponential expansion for large t

$$F(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j e^{-te_j} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathrm{Re}(e_j) > 0$. We can match both expansions at $t = 0$ provided that the series in the right–hand side of the equations

$$F_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j e_j^k, \ \ k = 0, 1, \ldots \tag{3}$$

converge.

We are interested in the case that we do not know the parameters d_j and e_j of the exponential expansion. Therefore, we try an ansatz of the form

$$F^{(N)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2N-1} d_j e^{-te_j} \tag{4}$$

and match its Taylor expansion about $t = 0$ with the actual power series (1). In this way we obtain the following system of $2N$ nonlinear equations with the $2N$ unknowns d_j and e_j:

$$F_k = \sum_{n=0}^{2N-1} d_n e_n^k, \ k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2N - 1 \tag{5}$$

In order to solve equations (5) we consider the auxiliary system of N linear equations with N unknowns c_i

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (F_{i+j+1} - WF_{i+j}) c_i = 0, \ j = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \tag{6}$$

There are nontrivial solutions only if its determinant vanishes

$$|F_{i+j+1} - WF_{i+j}|_{i,j=0}^{N-1} = 0 \tag{7}$$

that is to say, if W is one of the N roots $W_0, W_1, \ldots, W_{N-1}$ of the characteristic polynomial

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} p_j W^j = 0 \tag{8}$$

where the coefficients p_j are nonlinear functions of the F_k.

If we define

$$\gamma_j = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} F_{i+j} c_i, \ j = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \tag{9}$$

then equations (6) reduce to $\gamma_{j+1} = W\gamma_j$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1$. It follows from this result that $\gamma_j = W^j\gamma_0$ and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} \gamma_j p_j = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_i \sum_{j=0}^{N} F_{i+j} p_j = \gamma_0 \sum_{j=0}^{N} p_j W^j = 0 \tag{10}$$

We realize that the coefficients p_j are given by

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} F_{i+j} p_j = 0, \ j = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1 \tag{11}$$

Taking into account this equation and Eq. (5) it is clear that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} F_{i+j} p_j = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} d_n e_n^i \sum_{j=0}^{N} p_j e_n^j = 0 \tag{12}$$

In other words, the exponential parameters are the roots of the secular determinant: $e_n = W_n$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1$. Once we have these roots the nonlinear equations (5) become linear equations for the remaining unknowns d_n. There are $2N$ such equations but we only need N of them; for concreteness we arbitrarily choose the first N ones. The occurrence of multiple roots e_n reduces the order N of the ansatz $F^{(N)}(t)$.

The starting point of present proof Eq. (6) was motivated by an earlier paper were Fernández [7] proved the equivalence between the Rayleigh–Ritz variation method in the Krylov space and the connected–moments polynomial approach [8].

3   Generating functions for the moments and connected moments

The generating function for the moments of a Hamiltonian operator $\hat{H}$ with respect to a trial or reference state $|\phi\rangle$ is

$$Z(t) = \langle\phi| e^{-t\hat{H}} |\phi\rangle \tag{13}$$

The coefficients of its Taylor expansion

$$Z(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^j}{j!} \mu_j \tag{14}$$

give the moments $\mu_j = \langle \phi | \hat{H}^j | \phi \rangle$. If the spectrum of $\hat{H}$ is discrete and its eigenfunctions form a complete set

$$\hat{H} | \psi_j \rangle = E_j | \psi_j \rangle, \ j = 0, 1, \dots \tag{15}$$

then

$$Z(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\langle \phi | \psi_j \rangle|^2 \, e^{-t E_j} \tag{16}$$

provided that $\langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Therefore, we can apply the method developed in the preceding section with $F_j = \mu_j$. For concreteness we assume that $E_0 \leq E_1 \leq E_2 \leq \dots$.

In this case equations (6) and (7) are the secular equations and secular determinant, respectively, for the Rayleigh–Ritz method in the Krylov space [7] (and references therein). Therefore, the roots W_j are real and for each of them we have the approximate solution

$$| \varphi_j \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} c_{ij} | \phi_i \rangle, \ j = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \ | \phi_i \rangle = \hat{H}^i | \phi \rangle \tag{17}$$

where $\langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. Besides, we know that the approximate variational eigenvalues are upper bounds to the exact ones: $W_j^{(N)} > W_j^{(N+1)} > E_j$.

The projection operator

$$\hat{P}_N = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} | \varphi_j \rangle \langle \varphi_j | \tag{18}$$

satisfies

$$\hat{P}_N \left|\phi_i\right\rangle = \left|\phi_i\right\rangle, \ i = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \tag{19}$$

For the projected Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_N = \hat{P}_N \hat{H} \hat{P}_N \tag{20}$$

we have

$$\hat{H}_N^j \left|\phi\right\rangle = \hat{P}_N \hat{H}^j \left|\phi\right\rangle, \ j = 0, 1, \ldots, N \tag{21}$$

Therefore

$$\left\langle\phi\right| \hat{H}_N^j \left|\phi\right\rangle = \left\langle\phi\right| \hat{H}^j \left|\phi\right\rangle, \ j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2N - 1 \tag{22}$$

The approximate generating function

$$Z^{(N)}(t) = \left\langle\phi\right| e^{-t\hat{H}_N} \left|\phi\right\rangle \tag{23}$$

exhibits an exponential expansion

$$Z^{(N)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2N-1} \left|\left\langle\phi\right| \varphi_j\rangle\right|^2 e^{-tW_j} \tag{24}$$

and its Taylor series about $t = 0$ yields the first $2N - 1$ exact moments

$$Z^{(N)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2N-1} \frac{(-t)^j}{j!} \mu_j + \ldots \tag{25}$$

Therefore, if we apply the method of the preceding section the parameters d_j and e_j of the approximate exponential expansion (4) should be $d_j = \left|\left\langle\phi\right| \varphi_j\rangle\right|^2$ and $e_j = W_j$ if there is no degeneracy. If W_j is m–fold degenerate then the coefficient d_j will be the sum of the corresponding m overlaps $\left|\left\langle\phi\right| \varphi_j\rangle\right|^2$. It

is surprising that merely matching an exponential–series ansatz and a Taylor series may lead to the results of the Rayleigh–Ritz method.

The function

$$E(t) = -\frac{Z'(t)}{Z(t)} \tag{26}$$

is monotonically decreasing [1] and its Taylor expansion about $t = 0$ yields the connected moments I_j:

$$E(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^j}{j!} I_{j+1} \tag{27}$$

that one easily obtains by means of the recurrence relation [1]

$$I_1 = \mu_1$$

$$I_{j+1} = \mu_{j+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{j}{i} I_{i+1}\mu_{j-i}, \ j = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{28}$$

In order to extrapolate $E(t)$ to $t \to \infty$ Cioslowski [3] proposed the exponential–series ansatz

$$E^{(N)}(t) = A_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_j e^{-b_j t} \tag{29}$$

where the unknown parameters b_j are supposed to be real and positive. Matching this expression with the t–expansion (27) leads to the set of equations

$$I_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{N} A_n$$

$$I_{k+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_n b_n^k, \ k = 1, 2, \ldots, 2N \tag{30}$$

8

Arguing as in the preceding section we conclude that the exponential parameters b_j, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ are the roots of the pseudo–secular determinant

$$|I_{i+j+1} - bI_{i+j}|_{i,j=1}^{N} = 0 \tag{31}$$

Once we have the exponential parameters we solve N of the remaining linear equations (30) for the coefficients A_j and then we obtain A_0 from the first equation:

$$A_0 = I_1 - \sum_{n=1}^{N} A_n \tag{32}$$

In order to test the consistency of the main CMX assumption we can try the alternative ansatz

$$U^{(N)}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} A_j e^{-b_j t} \tag{33}$$

and verify that there is a stable root b_0 that approaches zero as N increases. The corresponding pseudo–secular determinant is slightly different from the previous one:

$$|I_{i+j+2} - bI_{i+j+1}|_{i,j=0}^{N} = 0 \tag{34}$$

In this case we expect difficulties in matching the Taylor and exponential series because the denominator of $E(t)$ exhibits zeros in the complex t–plane $Z(t) = 0$. Amore et al [6] have already discussed this point by means of simple examples and here we will show that present mathematical formulas are of considerable help for that purpose.

In the standard implementation of the CMX one does not calculate the parameters b_j explicitly [3]. For example, Knowles [5] derived the following explicit

expression for the approximant of order M to the coefficient A_0:

$$A_{0,M} = I_1 - \left(I_2 \ I_3 \ \cdots \ I_{M+1} \right) \begin{pmatrix} I_3 & I_4 & \cdots I_{M+2} \\ I_4 & I_5 & \cdots I_{M+3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \vdots \\ I_{M+2} \ I_{M+3} & \cdots I_{2M+1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} I_2 \\ I_3 \\ \vdots \\ I_{M+1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{35}$$

where

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} A_{0,M} = A_0 = E_0 \tag{36}$$

provided that the method converges.

If we define the matrices $\mathbf{B} = \left(B_{ij} = b_j^i \right)_{i,j=1}^{N}$, $\mathbf{A} = (A_i \delta_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{N}$ and $\mathbf{I} = (I_{i+j})_{i,j=1}^{N}$ then we can rewrite equations (30) with $k = 2, 3, \ldots, 2N$ as $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B}^t$. Therefore, if the determinant of the square matrix in equation (35) vanishes then

- one or more roots b_j vanish
- there are multiple roots $(b_j = b_k = \cdots)$
- one or more coefficients A_j vanish

In any such case the approximation of order N reduces to an approximation of lesser order.

It is not difficult to prove that

$$S(t)^2 = \frac{Z(t/2)^2}{Z(t)} \tag{37}$$

satisfies [9]

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} S(t)^2 = S_\infty^2 = |\langle \phi \, | \psi_0 \rangle|^2 \tag{38}$$

From

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln S(t)^2 = E(t) - E(t/2) \tag{39}$$

one easily derives an approximation to the overlap in terms of the parameters of the exponential expansion:

$$\ln S_N^2 = \ln |\langle \phi \, | \phi \rangle|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{A_j}{b_j} \tag{40}$$

When $\langle \phi \, | \phi \rangle = 1$ this expression agrees with the one derived by Cioslowski [9] except for the minus sign that is missing in his Eq. (21). Cioslowski did not use this expression directly but an equivalent one in terms of matrices built from the connected moments. Here we will use it in order to test the formulas derived above for the exponential parameters. For generality we keep the term $\ln |\langle \phi \, | \phi \rangle|^2$ because in some cases our trial functions will not be normalized to unity.

4 Illustrative examples

In order to test the equations developed in the preceding section in what follows we apply them to some simple examples where we can carry out calculations of sufficiently large order.

We first consider the harmonic oscillator

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 \tag{41}$$

and the unnormalized trial functions

$$\langle x | \, \phi_g \rangle = \exp \left(-\frac{2x^2}{5} \right)$$

11

$$\langle x|\,\phi_e\rangle = \left(x^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{2x^2}{5}\right) \tag{42}$$

already chosen by Amore et al [6] for their analysis of the convergence properties of the CMX. Table 1 shows the exact overlaps $|\langle\phi|\,\psi_j\rangle|^2$, $j = 0, 2, 4, 6$, for these two trial functions. We appreciate that $|\phi_g\rangle$ and $|\phi_e\rangle$ exhibit larger overlaps with the ground and second excited state, respectively.

Table 2 shows the parameters W_j and d_j for the trial function $|\phi_g\rangle$. The former converge (from above) towards the eigenvalues of the harmonic oscillator and the latter towards the exact overlaps shown in Table 1 in complete agreement with the general proof given in the preceding section.

Table 3 shows the parameters A_j and b_j, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ for the second CMX ansatz $U^{(N)}(t)$ proposed in the preceding section. Note that the exponential parameter b_0 tends to zero as N increases suggesting that the CMX applies successfully to this problem. Table 4 shows the same parameters but with b_0 set equal to 0 as in the first approach $E^{(N)}(t)$. The results of both tables approach each other as N increases.

Table 5 shows that the approximate overlap S_N^2 given by Eq. (40) for the unnormalized trial function $|\phi_g\rangle$ tends to the corresponding exact result in Table 1. Cioslowski's approach [9] applies successfully to this example.

The second column in Table 6 shows that the CMX converges rapidly towards the ground state as N increases. This success is unsurprising in the light of the preceding analysis of the CMX parameters b_j. We obtain the same results from equation (32) and the parameters A_j given in Table 4.

Table 7 shows the parameters W_j and d_j for the trial function $|\phi_e\rangle$. The former converge (from above) towards the eigenvalues of the harmonic oscillator and the latter towards the exact overlaps shown in Table 1. Since the overlap of the trial function with the second excited state is larger than the overlap with

the ground state we expect an anomalous behaviour of both ansätze $E^{(N)}$ and $U^{(N)}$ as discussed by Amore et al [6]. This is in fact the case and some of the parameters b_j for this trial function are negative or complex. However, the second ansatz $U^{(N)}$ discussed in the preceding section exhibits a small exponential parameter b_0 that appears to tend to zero as N increases. At the same time, the corresponding coefficient A_0 tends to the energy of the second excited state as N increases as sown in Table 8. This behaviour is consistent with the convergence of the CMX to the second excited state shown in the third column of Table 6 and discussed earlier by Amore et al [6]. Note that the CMX does not provide bounds to the energies as the Rayleigh–Ritz method already does.

As a nontrivial example we choose the simple anharmonic oscillator

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^4 \tag{43}$$

and the unnormalized trial functions

$$\langle x | \phi_g \rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{3x^2}{2}\right)$$
$$\langle x | \phi_e \rangle = \left(x^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{3x^2}{2}\right) \tag{44}$$

also considered by Amore et al [6]. This oscillator is strongly anharmonic and enables us to calculate as many terms as desired for all the approximants discussed above.

Table 9 shows the parameters W_j and d_j, $j = 0, 1, 2$ for the trial function $|\phi_g\rangle$. The former converge (from above) towards the well known eigenvalues as N increases and the latter provide the overlaps. Since the overlap with the ground state dominates we predict that the CMX will converge towards this state [6]. The second column of Table 10 shows the great rate of convergence of the CMX towards the ground state of the anharmonic oscillator, already

13

calculated by Amore et al [6]. Once again we appreciate that the CMX does not provide bounds.

Table 11 shows the parameters for the ansatz $U^{(N)}$. The parameter b_0 tends to zero and A_0 towards the energy of the ground state of the anharmonic oscillator as N increases. However, spurious roots b_j and values of the corresponding parameters A_j appear when $N \geq 3$. We have just chosen those that follow the reasonable sequences determined by the results for smaller values of N.

Table 12 shows the parameters for the ansatz $E^{(N)}$. Note that the agreement between the parameters of the two ansätze for the anharmonic oscillator is not as good as in the case of the harmonic oscillator. In this case we also obtain apparently spurious roots b_j and coefficients A_j for $N > 4$. For example, when $N = 6$ b_2 and A_2 are the complex conjugates of b_3 and A_3, respectively. Consequently, the complex parts of A_2 and A_3 cancel each other in equation (32) that yields a reasonable approach to the ground–state energy $A_0 = 1.0603680$. We conclude that the parameters A_j and b_j should not necessarily be real and positive for the CMX approximants (35) to converge neatly towards the ground–state energy.

Table 13 shows that the overlap between the ground state of the anharmonic oscillator and $|\phi_g\rangle$ calculated by means of Eq. (40) agrees with the result of Table 9. Once again we realize that the complex parts of the parameters A_j and b_j cancel out to produce a reasonable real approximation to the expected result. The occurrence of complex parameters in the exponential ansatz is not revealed by the approximants (35) and (40) based on the connected moments.

5   Conclusions

In this paper we propose a simple formula for matching a Taylor series about $t = 0$ and an asymptotic exponential expansion valid for large t. We applied it to the analysis of the extrapolation of the t–expansions for the generating functions of the moments and connected moments. Obviously, only $Z(t)$ is suitable for matching both expansions at origin because this function does not exhibit singular points. Unfortunately, results coming from it are not size consistent. On the other hand $E(t)$ exhibits singularities at the zeroes of $Z(t)$ in the complex t–plane that may hinder the extrapolation (see also Amore et al [6] for other examples). Our formula enables us to test whether the main assumptions of the CMX are valid for the reference state chosen for the study of a given quantum–mechanical problem. We have analyzed two cases for the harmonic oscillator and two more for an anharmonic oscillator and have shown that the CMX equations yield better results for the former which is not surprising. We have also seen that there may be a great rate of convergence of the CMX approximants (35) even when the parameters in the ansatz $E^{(N)}(t)$ are complex. This most interesting feature of the CMX was not revealed by earlier applications of the approach because they were based on algorithms that bypass the explicit calculation of the parameters of the ansatz $E^{(N)}(t)$.

Knowles' equation (35) for the energy and Cioslowski's equation (40) for the overlap are remarkable ways of bypassing the explicit calculation of the unnecessary variables in the nonlinear equation (5). However, we have shown that it is not difficult to calculate all those variables explicitly and obtain additional information on the behaviour of the approach.

Finally, we mention that our formula is not restricted to the analysis of the generating functions for the moments and connected moments. In future works we will explore its utility in other problems of physical interest. Just to mention

one example, note that $Z(it) = \langle\psi(0)|\exp\left(-it\hat{H}\right)|\psi(0)\rangle$ is the projection of the state at time t $|\psi(t)\rangle = \exp\left(-it\hat{H}\right)|\psi(0)\rangle$ onto the initial state $|\psi(0)\rangle$. We easily obtain $Z(it)$ for the harmonic and anharmonic oscillators from the results of tables 2, 7 and 9.

References

[1] D. Horn and M. Weinstein, The t expansion: A nonperturbative analytic tool for Hamiltonian systems, Phys. Rev. D 30 (1984) 1256-1270.

[2] D. Horn, M. Karliner, and M. Weinstein, The t expansion and SU(2) lattice gauge theory, Phys. Rev. D 31 (1985) 2589-2599.

[3] J. Cioslowski, Connected-Moments Expansion: A New Tool for Quantum Many-Body Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 83-85.

[4] C. Stubbins, Methods of extrapolating the t-expansion series, Phys. Rev. D 38 (1988) 1942-1949.

[5] P. Knowles, On the validity and applicability of the connected moments expansion, Chem. Phys. Lett. 134 (1987) 512-518.

[6] P. Amore, F. M. Fernández, and M. Rodriguez, Further analysis of the connected moments expansion, arXiv:1011.2260v1 [quant-ph]

[7] F. M. Fernández, Rayleigh-Ritz variation method and connected-moments polynomial approach, arXiv:0807.1442 [math-ph]

[8] I. Bartashevich, The Connected-Moments Polynomial Approach for Hamiltonian Eigenvalues Calculation and Its Application to the One-Particle Systems, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 108 (2008) 272-278.

[9] J. Cioslowski, Estimation of the overlap between the approximate and exact wave function of the ground state from the connected-moments expansion, Phys. Rev. A 36 (1987) 3441-3442.

Table 1

Exact overlaps between the trial functions (42) and the harmonic–oscillator eigen-functions

j	$|\langle \phi_g| \psi_j \rangle|^2$	$|\langle \phi_e| \psi_j \rangle|^2$
0	1.969393167	0.006078373974
2	0.01215674794	1.515878931
4	0.0001125624810	0.05586468983
6	0.000001158050216	0.001291015111

Table 2

Parameters W_j and d_j for the harmonic oscillator and the trial function $|\phi_g\rangle$ (42)

N	W_0/d_0	W_1/d_1	W_2/d_2	W_3/d_3
2	1.00000699	5.006424635	9.368568397	—
	1.969404521	0.01216455133	0.00009457604481	—
3	1.0000001	5.000187579	9.027741984	13.67205836
	1.96939336	0.01215747214	0.000112048591	0.000007675514617
4	1.00000000	5.000004232	9.001287128	13.07302878
	1.969393169	0.01215677486	0.000112568657	0.000001130187743

Table 3

Parameters b_j and A_j of the ansatz (33) for the harmonic oscillator and the trial function $|\phi_g\rangle$ (42)

N	b_0/A_0	b_1/A_1	b_2/A_2	b_3/A_3
2	0.002381248414	4.198837892	—	—
	1.00145413	0.02354586947	—	—
3	0.00004431997162	4.010093259	8.439885	—
	1.000036479	0.02471528396	0.0002482361748	—
4	0.0000007311081954	4.000338156	8.037169546	12.76249194
	1.000000709	0.02469399105	0.0003029268491	0.000002372560526

Table 4

Parameters b_j and A_j of the ansatz (29) for the harmonic oscillator and the trial function $|\phi_g\rangle$ (42)

N	b_1/A_1	b_2/A_2	b_3/A_3
2	4.003491206	8.296508793	—
	0.02472188733	0.0002743493113	—
3	4.000086984	8.019485444	12.58042783
	0.02469258182	0.0003046174966	0.000002754219691
4	4.000001796	8.000821311	12.05918785
	0.02469138991	0.0003048780599	0.000003706290872

Table 5

Overlap for the ground state of the harmonic oscillator from Eq. (40)

N	S_N^2
2	1.969399291
3	1.969393256
4	1.969393168

Table 6

Convergence of the CMX for the harmonic oscillator and the two trial functions $|\phi_g\rangle$ and $|\phi_e\rangle$ (42)

N	$A_{0,N}(g)$	$A_{0,N}(e)$
1	1.000304878	4.931822888
2	1.000003763	5.014793896
3	1	5.002413906
4	1	5.001402117
5	1	4.999955757
6	1	5.002955554
7	1	5.000013363
8	1	5.000011300
9	1	5.000001215
10	1	4.999999154

Table 7

Parameters W_j and d_j for the harmonic oscillator and the trial function $|\phi_e\rangle$ (42)

N	W_0/d_0	W_1/d_1	W_2/d_2	W_3/d_3
2	2.911817131	5.079618783	9.870638470	—
	0.04317577925	1.498883666	0.03707877419	—
3	1.060922282	5.002758941	9.097824481	14.14651589
	0.006448836455	1.517139667	0.05488390863	0.0006658074829
4	1.001339803	5.000101462	9.007425114	13.18333763
	0.006087180960	1.515949720	0.05588279435	0.001209845824
5	1.000026913	5.000003052	9.000381181	13.01903413
	0.006078565317	1.515881592	0.05586900205	0.001287657490

Table 8

Parameters b_0 and A_0 for the harmonic oscillator with the trial function $|\phi_e\rangle$ (42)

N	b_0	A_0
2	0.01634078866	5.013227071
3	−0.002960622766	4.999388680
4	0.006737033331	4.997247173
5	0.0003889752190	5.000207908

Table 9

Parameters W_j and d_j for the anharmonic oscillator (43) and the trial function $|\phi_g\rangle$ (44)

N	W_0/d_0	W_1/d_1	W_2/d_2
2	1.069780255	7.871169487	20.45762861
	0.9487351539	0.07314504796	0.001446505981
3	1.061229046	7.516944429	17.25938517
	0.9451196068	0.07523345172	0.002946453665
4	1.060427446	7.462353629	16.44650531
	0.9447200769	0.07517101138	0.003352072665
5	1.06036628	7.456258219	16.28617073
	0.944686457	0.07513217688	0.003396340115

Table 10

Convergence of the CMX towards the ground–state (g) and second–excited state (e) energies of the anharmonic oscillator (43)

M	$A_0(g)$	$A_0(e)$
5	1.060692159	7.439371257
10	1.060363186	7.456069907
15	1.060362073	7.450017954
20	1.060362093	7.451366303
25	1.060362090	7.455118704
30	1.060362090	7.454183973
35	”	7.451642486
40	”	7.454364274
50	”	7.454214745
60	”	7.453864737
70	”	7.455066766
80	”	7.455185890
90	”	7.453941990
100	”	7.453833053
exact	1.060362090	7.455697938

Table 11

Parameters b_j and A_j of the ansatz (33) for the anharmonic oscillator (43) with the trial function $|\phi_g\rangle$ (44)

N	b_0/A_0	b_1/A_1	b_2/A_2
2	0.08325285817	6.885234502	20.86679836
	1.099547538	0.4729461665	0.01083962814
3	0.001993821505	6.359516968	17.31475086
	1.06101123	0.4997973255	0.02232719076
4	-0.003775464406	6.306016728	16.74692001
	1.057884464	0.5006911384	0.02434537896

Table 12

Parameters b_j and A_j of the ansatz (29) for the anharmonic oscillator (43) with the trial function $|\phi_g\rangle$ (44)

N	b_1/A_1	b_2/A_2	b_3/A_3
2	6.470844472	19.19699588	–
	0.503507803	0.01645848089	–
3	6.343642152	17.18884282	34.42060985
	0.5002800387	0.02285212523	0.0002229752367
4	6.342827268	17.16971044	34.25122888
	0.5002367582	0.02290363548	0.0002309382854
5	5.692034185	5.980920104	16.54089332
	-0.5783779771	1.075044172	0.02550181868

Table 13

Overlap for the ground state of the anharmonic oscillator from Eq. (40)

N	S_N^2
2	0.9459076757
3	0.9444614836
4	0.9444538767
5	0.9449417075
6	0.9446880500